

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 - Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 - Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 - Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: PHILIPS RECALLED CPAP, : Master Docket: Misc. No. 21-mc-1230-JFC
BI-LEVEL PAP, AND MECHANICAL : MDL No. 3014
VENTILATOR PRODUCTS : LITIGATION
This Document Relates to: : SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR
: PERSONAL INJURIES, DAMAGES,
: AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

William Coukoulis, Jr. and Linda Coukoulis, h/w

2:23-cv-594

Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the Amended Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial filed in *In re Philips Recalled CPAP, Bi-Level PAP, and Mechanical Ventilator Products Litigation*, MDL No. 3014, Master Docket Misc. No. 21-mc-1230 (the “Master Long Form Complaint”). This Short Form Complaint adopts the allegations, claims, and requested relief as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint. As necessary herein, Plaintiff(s) may include: (a) additional claims and allegations against Defendants; and/or (b) additional claims and allegations against other Defendants not listed in the Master Long Form Complaint.

Plaintiff(s) further allege(s) as follows:

I. DEFENDANTS

1. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants in this action:

Koninklijke Philips N.V.

Philips North America LLC.

Philips RS North America LLC.

- Philips Holding USA Inc.
- Philips RS North America Holding Corporation.
- Polymer Technologies, Inc.
- Polymer Molded Products LLC.

II. PLAINTIFF(S)

2. Name of Plaintiff(s):

William Coukoulis, Jr.

3. Name of spouse of Plaintiff (if loss of consortium claim is being made):

Linda Coukoulis

4. Name and capacity (*i.e.*, executor, administrator, guardian, conservator, etc.) of other Plaintiff, if any:

N/A

5. State(s) of residence of Plaintiff(s) (if the Recalled Device user is deceased, residence at the time of death):

California

III. DESIGNATED FORUM

6. Identify the forum (United States District Court and Division) in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the absence of direct filing:

W.D. Pa. or C.D. Cal.

IV. USE OF A RECALLED DEVICE

7. Plaintiff used the following Recalled Device(s):

<input type="checkbox"/> <i>E30 (Emergency Use Authorization)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Dorma 500</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>DreamStation ASV</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>REMstar SE Auto</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>DreamStation ST, AVAPS</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Trilogy 100</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>SystemOne ASV4</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Trilogy 200</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>C-Series ASV</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Garbin Plus, Aeris, LifeVent</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>C-Series S/T and AVAPS</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>A-Series BiPAP Hybrid A30 (not marketed in U.S.)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>OmniLab Advanced +</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>A-Series BiPAP V30 Auto</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>SystemOne (Q-Series)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>A-Series BiPAP A40</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>DreamStation</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>A-Series BiPAP A30</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>DreamStation Go</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Other Philips Resironics Device; if other, identify the model:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Dorma 400</i>	REMstar Auto

V. INJURIES

8. Plaintiff alleges the following physical injuries as a result of using a Recalled Device together with the attendant symptoms and consequences associated therewith:

- COPD (new or worsening)
- Asthma (new or worsening)
- Pulmonary Fibrosis
- Other Pulmonary Damage/Inflammatory Response
- Cancer Lung (specify cancer)
- Kidney Damage
- Liver Damage

Heart Damage

Death

Other (specify)

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION/DAMAGES

9. As to Koninklijke Philips N.V., Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VI: Negligent Recall
- Count VII: Battery
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing
- Count X: Breach of Express Warranty
- Count XI: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability
- Count XII: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Usability
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation

- Count XV: Negligence Per Se
- Count XVI: Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair and Deceptive Practices Under State Law
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring
- Count XXI: Punitive Damages
- Count XXII: Other [specify below]

10. As to Philips North America LLC, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VI: Negligent Recall
- Count VII: Battery
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing

- Count X: Breach of Express Warranty
- Count XI: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability
- Count XII: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Usability
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count XV: Negligence Per Se
- Count XVI: Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair and Deceptive Practices Under State Law
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring
- Count XXI: Punitive Damages
- Count XXII: Other [specify below]

11. As to Philips RS North America LLC, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn

- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VI: Negligent Recall
- Count VII: Battery
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing
- Count X: Breach of Express Warranty
- Count XI: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability
- Count XII: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Usability
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count XV: Negligence Per Se
- Count XVI: Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair and Deceptive Practices Under State Law
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring
- Count XXI: Punitive Damages
- Count XXII: Other [specify below]

12. As to Philips Holding USA Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VI: Negligent Recall
- Count VII: Battery
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing
- Count X: Breach of Express Warranty
- Count XI: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability
- Count XII: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Usability
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count XV: Negligence Per Se
- Count XVI: Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair and Deceptive Practices Under State Law
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring

Count XXI: Punitive Damages

Count XXII: Other [specify below]

13. As to Philips RS North America Holding Corporation, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

Count I: Negligence

Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect

Count III: Negligent Design

Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn

Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn

Count VI: Negligent Recall

Count VII: Battery

Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect

Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing

Count X: Breach of Express Warranty

Count XI: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability

Count XII: Breach of the Implied Warranty of Usability

Count XIII: Fraud

Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation

Count XV: Negligence Per Se

- Count XVI: Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair and Deceptive Practices Under State Law
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring
- Count XXI: Punitive Damages
- Count XXII: Other [specify below]

14. As to Polymer Technologies, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment

- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring
- Count XXI: Punitive Damages
- Count XXII: Other [specify below]

15. As to Polymer Molded Products LLC, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, and the allegations and prayer for relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

- Count I: Negligence
- Count II: Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count III: Negligent Design
- Count IV: Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count V: Negligent Failure to Warn
- Count VIII: Strict Liability: Manufacturing Defect
- Count IX: Negligent Manufacturing
- Count XIII: Fraud
- Count XIV: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count XVII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XVIII: Loss of Consortium
- Count XIX: Survivorship and Wrongful Death
- Count XX: Medical Monitoring

Count XXI: Punitive Damages

Count XXII: Other [specify below]

16. If additional claims against the Defendants identified in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial are alleged above, the additional facts, if any, supporting these allegations must be pleaded. Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional factual allegations against the Defendants identified in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial:

17. Plaintiff(s) contend(s) that additional parties may be liable or responsible for Plaintiff(s)' damages alleged herein. Such additional parties, who will be hereafter referred to as Defendants, are as follows (must name each Defendant and its citizenship):

18. Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional claims and factual allegations against other Defendants named in Paragraph 17 above:

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants and all such further relief that this Court deems equitable and just as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint for Personal Injuries, Damages and Demand for Jury Trial and any additional relief to which Plaintiff(s) may be entitled.

Date: Apr 11 2023

/s/ Sandra Duggan

Sandra Duggan, Esquire
Laurence Berman, Esquire
LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN
510 Walnut Street, Ste 500
Philadelphia, PA 19106
(Tel) 215-592-1500/(Fax) 215-592-4663
sduggan@lfsblaw.com
lberman@lfsblaw.com